

Barbados

Indictment No: 51 of 2012

The Queen v. Leroy Dennis Snaggs

SENTENCING JUDGMENT

Appearances:

Mr. Anthony Blackman for the Crown

Mr. Arthur Holder for the accused

Leroy Dennis Snaggs,

[1.] **Background:** On Monday May 19th 2014, a jury of your peers found you “guilty” of rape contrary to section 3 (1) of the *Sexual Offences Act Cap. 154* which provides that: “*any person who has sexual intercourse with another person without the consent of the other person and who knows that the other person does not consent to the intercourse or is reckless as to whether the other person consents to the intercourse is guilty of the offence of rape.*”

[2.] **The Case for the Crown:** The case for the Crown at the trial was that on the 22nd day of September 2010, the complainant, Jeanny Olivier (who was then a sixteen (16) year old resident of Park Road, Bush Hall and a family friend of your mother, Mavis Ferdinand and of your nephew Tristan Snaggs) had come to your house on Leinster Road to visit with your mother, Mavis Ferdinand who she thought was unwell.

[3.] Your mother was not at home at the time of her visit. In fact, according to the Crown’s case, you had been alone at home when Jeanny Olivier knocked at the door and asked to see your mother. According to the Crown’s case, you then

lured sixteen Jeanny Olivier into your family's residence situate at Leinster Road, Waterford St. Michael by deliberately giving the girl the false impression that your mother had been at home inside her bedroom. In fact, you told Jeanny Olivier that your mother had asked her to come inside the house to her.

[4.] Once Jeanny Olivier had entered the house, you ambushed her in the hallway of the house. You grabbed her hand, placed a knife to her neck and threatened to stab her if she did not shut up. You pulled her into the bedroom immediately opposite to your mother's bedroom and ordered her to take off her clothes. On being informed that Jeanny Olivier was seeing her menstrual period, you accused the girl of lying. After inspecting Jeanny Olivier's panty and the sanitary pad attached to it, you once again told the girl that she was lying, dropped the panty and ordered her to sit on the bed.

[5.] You then took off your clothes, got between her legs on the bed and tried to put your penis into her vagina. The complainant kept moving away from you. You threatened to stab her if she did not stop moving. According to the Crown's case, you then spat into your hand, passed it on her vagina and tried once again to put your penis inside her vagina until it eventually went in and hurt a lot.

[6.] Jeanny Olivier kept moving away and you continued moving in an "*in and out motion*" for a while until you stopped, held your penis in your hand and told the girl to go and not to tell anyone. The complainant got off the bed, quickly put on her clothes, left the house and cried all the way home.

[7.] On her arrival home, Jeanny Olivier called her mother at work and reported what had happened to her. The matter was reported to the police and investigations commenced.

[8.] Jeanny Olivier was taken to see the Police Medical doctor, Dr. Andrew Murray. His investigations revealed that her hymen was not intact. His examination also revealed the presence of some menstrual blood, but no other abnormality was detected.

[9.] You were interviewed by police and made oral statements, including one in which you denied having had sexual intercourse with the complainant and stated that you had only rubbed your penis on the outside because she had been having her period.

[10.] According to the Crown's case, you also dictated a written statement to police in which you essentially told police that you had been making love to the girl in your bedroom and had assured her that you would not come in her or go down in her but would only put your penis at the top of her vagina. You also told police that Jeanny Olivier had asked you to hurry up because her father would be looking for her and that after about 2 minutes you finished masturbating and discharged in your hand.

[11.] **The Case for the Defence:** In your Unsworn Statement at the trial, you told the Court that you had told police what had happened on the morning in question and that the written statement which you had dictated to police was true. You denied having sexual intercourse with the complainant and further denied jucking your penis into her vagina. You told the Court that everything Jeanny Olivier had told the Court was bare lies.

[12.] **Basis of the Jury’s verdict and the Court’s findings for sentencing purposes:** The Court was satisfied that in returning its unanimous verdict of “guilty” of rape against you, the jury quite clearly rejected your version of events as outlined in your Unsworn Statement and accepted instead as the truth, the evidence of the complainant, Jeanny Olivier that you had in fact had sexual intercourse with her without her consent knowing that she had not consented to the intercourse.

[13.] **Your Criminal Antecedents:** Following your conviction, Police Constable Basil Hunte attached to the Criminal Records Office, Central Police Station, informed the Court that you have fifty-two (52) previous convictions. These include: two (2) for house breaking with intent; one (1) for burglary; two (2) for buggery; six (6) for wounding; five (5) for possession of cannabis; two (2) for escaping custody; eight (8) for possession of an offensive weapon; three (3) for assault; four (4) for assault with intent to prevent your lawful apprehension; one (1) for attempted house breaking; fourteen (14) for theft; one (1) for unlawful possession; one (1) for aggravated burglary; one (1) for assault occasioning actual bodily harm and one (1) for drug trafficking.

[14.] **Your Pre-Sentence Report:** As required by sections 37 and 38 of the *Penal System Reform Act Cap. 139*, a Pre-Sentence Report was ordered. The Report was prepared by Probation Officer Mrs. Tameisha Duesbury. Due to Mrs. Duesbury’s unavailability on 17th July 2014, the Report was read into evidence by Probation Officer Mr. Owen Birch on 17th July 2014.

[15.] Mrs. Duesbury subsequently attended Court on 28th July, 2014 and was cross-examined by Defence Counsel, Mr. Arthur Holder. Her Pre-Sentence

Report and her sworn testimony have since both been carefully reviewed by the Court.

[16.] Your Pre-Sentence Report (as they all do) sheds some light on your family, educational, social and employment background, as well as on your current attitude to the offence. The Report also contains a Victim Impact section in which the Probation officer investigated and reported on the impact which the offence has had on the victim of the offence, Jeanny Olivier.

[17.] The Report revealed that you are the only son of Mr. David Roach and the youngest of four children born to your mother, Mrs. Mavis Ferdinand. Your mother stated that she and Mr. Roach separated when you were three (3) years old, but despite the separation your father played an active role in your life until you were ten (10) years old. When you were fifteen (15) years old, she married Steven Ferdinand who subsequently became a father figure to you.

[18.] Your mother stated that she observed a change in your behavior during your middle adolescent years, when you began coming home late without explanation and were continuously getting into fights at school. According to the Report, your mother disclosed that she was only made aware that you smoked illegal substances when you were sixteen (16) years old.

[19.] Your mother attributes your substance abuse history and your involvement in crime to your early exposure to illegal drugs. According to her, your use of illegal drugs has often resulted in you making poor decisions which have brought you before the Court and consequently, led to your incarceration at various periods of time.

[20.] She stated that she cautioned you about your substance abuse, but you rebuffed all efforts to dissuade you from using illegal drugs. However, your mother maintained that you are a nice person and are helpful and respectful to her when you are not under the influence of illegal drugs. She stated that she was not proud of your involvement in the matter engaging the Court's attention, especially since she knows the victim, Jeanny Olivier.

[21.] Mr. Tristan Snagg, your nephew, confirmed his friendship with the victim, Jeanny Olivier and reported that she and her brother were close friends and visited the family residence (which you shared) on a daily basis. He described you as a loving, caring person. However, he stated that your criminal history had affected him throughout his life. In particular, he reported that he was teased by his peers whilst at secondary school, and said that he would stay at home to avoid embarrassment. He regarded your actions as careless and opined that at your age you should not be in conflict with the law on a regular basis.

[22.] You informed the Probation officer that you share a close relationship with your mother, but have no meaningful relationship with your father. You are the father of two children; a 31-year old son and a 21-year old daughter. You also have a 4-year old grandson.

[23.] You disclosed that you began smoking marijuana on a daily basis using eight or nine "spliffs" per day from the age of fourteen (14). According to you the quantity of drug consumed increased at night.

[24.] You have admitted liming on the block and following your peers in whatever activity they were pursuing including liming on Nelson Street and shoplifting to support your drug addiction.

[25.] You informed the Probation officer that your drug usage progressed from marijuana to cocaine in your early adulthood. The Report revealed that you consumed approximately thirty (30) cocaine stones per day and smoked from night to morning. You divulged that you consume alcohol in addition to using cocaine.

[26.] According to the Report, that you “*block out your problems*” and create your “*own world*” by consuming alcohol and using cocaine. You added that when you are not under the influence of illegal drugs, you feel as if the world is against you. You have informed the Probation Officer that you have never been exposed to or benefitted from substance abuse counseling.

[27.] With respect to your educational history, the Report reveals that you were educated at the Wesley Hall Primary School and received your secondary school education at the St. Leonard’s Boys’ School. You disclosed that you fought on a regular basis at secondary school and were suspended on many occasions. You completed your secondary school education at age fifteen (15) leaving without having received any formal certification.

[28.] Upon leaving secondary school you secured employment as a labourer for a few months and then as a busboy. You later sought employment with your step-father doing carpentry, fishing and digging wells.

[29.] You reported that you are not proud of your past and stated that you should be at home assisting your mother instead of being incarcerated. The Report revealed that you have expressed no remorse for the offence.

[30.] Enquiries at the Investigations Department at Her Majesty's Prison Dodds revealed that you have a history of misconduct at the prison which includes: assaulting other inmates, disfiguring property and disrespecting prison officers.

[31.] Residents of the Leinster Road and Waterford community revealed that they are fearful of you. Additionally, members of your community have expressed their frustration with your actions. The residents described you as hot headed, verbally abusive, a nuisance to the society and a tormentor of the neighborhood. According to them, they only feel safe and comfortable when you are incarcerated. They also stated that the children avoid passing in the area or going outdoors to play, and seek alternative routes when you are within the community.

[32.] Victim Impact Statement: The Report revealed that the victim of the offence, Ms. Jeanny Olivere has told the Probation officer that she no longer frequents the Leinster Road, Waterford area. She indicated that she is scared to walk alone and no longer trusts anyone. Additionally, she revealed that there were occasions when she constantly hears your voice which makes her feel as if she is "going crazy" and she pricks herself with a needle. She lamented that she is fearful of you. She told the Probation officer that she has not received counseling since the offence and is of the view that she needs professional assistance to enable her to cope better. She was referred for counseling through the Probation Department.

[33.] In answer to a question posed by Defence Counsel, Mr. Arthur Holder, Probation officer, Mrs. Duesbury reported that you had admitted using illegal substances from a young age. She also told the Court that you have acknowledged that you have a substance abuse problem which has been the

main contributing factor to all the offences you have had before the court and the problems which you are currently facing in your life.

[34.] In answer to a question posed by Crown Prosecutor, Mr. Anthony Blackman, Probation officer, Mrs. Duesbury told the Court that based on her assessment, you pose a high risk for reoffending. The Report identified the main areas of concern as: (i) your criminal history; (ii) your companions; and (iii) your leisure time activities and your alcohol and drug problems.

[35.] **Your Psychological Report:** Following the presentation of the Pre-Sentence Report and at the request of Defence Counsel, this Court ordered the production of a Psychological Report. The Report was duly prepared by Prison Psychologist Mr. Sean Pilgrim and was read into evidence October 6th 2014. Mr. Sean Pilgrim also attended Court on October 30, 2014 and was cross-examined by Defence Counsel, Mr. Holder.

[36.] The Psychological Report revealed that you had behavioral challenges at secondary school and that your disobedience and regular involvement in fights led to your expulsion. You received your first prison sentence at age 16 and since then your subsequent recidivism has resulted in your spending a large portion of your life in prison. Due to your numerous prison sentences, you also lost the opportunity to develop any marketable skills and have only worked occasionally.

[37.] The Report revealed that you began using marijuana consistently at sixteen (16) years and by age twenty-three (23) you had graduated to cocaine use. You accepted that you are a heavy cocaine addict. You also noted that your cocaine

addiction was the primary motivation for most of your crimes. You also believe that you have committed several offences under the influence of cocaine.

[38.] You indicated that you regretted your behavior over the years and feel that you have spent too much time incarcerated. You expressed the hope that you will have the opportunity while incarcerated to learn a skill or to find ways to overcome your addiction.

[39.] While you have denied having had sexual intercourse with the complainant, you have nonetheless admitted that you were wrong to engage with her the way you did.

[40.] The Report reveals that you have limited insight into the nature of your present circumstances, but have experienced significant emotional distress due to your extensive imprisonment.

[41.] Your responses to the Cognitive Behavioral Semi-Structured Interview suggested that you do not appear to understand the importance of laws and social expectations for behaviors and lack an awareness of how rules can affect your life and how you can learn from your mistakes.

[42.] Your responses also revealed that you do not have a practical recognition of the scope of your current and likely future challenges and were unable to identify any areas of your life which you will need to address and also did not have a plan as to how you should proceed.

[43.] The Report also showed that you scored poorly on the scale that examines an offender's awareness of consequences. You identified a few unrealistic short term goals which you would be unlikely to achieve, given your resources and you also could not provide a complete outline for how you would attain your

long term goals. You were, however, able to accept that you would have considerable challenges in achieving any of your goals.

[44.] You were diagnosed in the Report as having Adult Anti-Social Behavior coupled with a Substance use disorder (cocaine).

[45.] The Report revealed that you have expressed a strong desire to reform and change the course of your life. You have recognized that since you have spent the majority of your life incarcerated, you have not accomplished any of the things a person of your age should have. You have also recognized that your past behavior and subsequent damaged reputation has seriously hampered your ability to make your way in society.

[46.] Having considered your extensive history of drug use, the Prison Psychologist, Mr. Pilgrim recommended your enrollment in a drug treatment program with emphasis on establishing and maintaining all possible forms of support within prison and in the community. He also recommended that you undergo intensive psychological counseling (anywhere between 15-25 sessions) to assist with your emotional challenges.

[47.] Under cross-examination by Defence Counsel, Mr. Holder, Prison Psychologist, Mr. Pilgrim expressed the view that your long term drug use had definitely impacted on your behavior. He expressed the opinion that during the commission of this offence you had almost undoubtedly still actively been using cocaine.

[48.] While unable to speak authoritatively on appropriateness of the drug counseling programme at the Prison *vis-à-vis* that offered at the Psychiatric Hospital, Mr. Pilgrim recommended that such counseling be delivered within a

structured environment which would allow you to access all the other support services which would prepare you to be an independently functioning person in the community.

[49.] At the request, once again of Defence Counsel, Mr. Arthur Holder, the Court heard from Mr. Peter Lorde, the IDRC Coordinator and a registered Psychologist stationed at HMP Dodds. Mr. Lorde described the nature of the 3 month drug treatment programme at the Prison. He also discussed the limitations of the programme and explained that due to the long waiting list at the Prison and the large number of persons to be enrolled in the drug treatment programme at the Prison and due also to the lack of resources, the drug treatment programme is not delivered to inmates continuously over the course of their sentence, but is only delivered to those persons who were within a period of six months of their release date.

[50.] **Discussion:** It is now for this Court to determine the appropriate sentence to impose upon you in respect of this matter having regard to its judicial obligations under sections 35 to 41 of the *Penal System Reform Act, Cap. 139*.

[51.] **Reasons for imposing Custodial Sentence - Section 35(4):** As required by section 35(4) of the *Penal System Reform Act* and after considering the evidence which was adduced at the trial and the manner in which this offence was committed, together with your Pre-sentence and Psychological Reports, the Court has formed the opinion that subsection (2)(a) applies and that this offence is so serious that only a custodial sentence should be passed upon you in this case for the reasons which now follow.

[52.] The Court firstly examined the statutory punishment for rape set out in section 3(1) of the *Sexual Offences Act, Cap. 154* which provides, *inter alia*,

that a person convicted of rape: “*is liable on conviction on indictment to imprisonment for life.*” The Court was therefore satisfied that viewed broadly, the offence of rape is clearly a very serious offence and one which, Parliament intends in appropriate cases to carry the maximum available custodial sentence of life imprisonment.

[53.] In keeping with the approach mandated by the *Penal System Reform Act*, the Court the Court determined the seriousness of the specific offence in this case having regard to the following aggravating factors in relation to the offence:

- i.) You lured the complainant into your family’s home at Leinster Road, Waterford St. Michael by the use of deception. You lied to her and deliberately gave her the false impression that your mother had been at home in her bedroom and that she had been invited to come inside and it was safe to enter the house. *[The Court was satisfied that your use of lies and deception to lure the girl into the house, showed a level of dishonesty and cunning on your part and was a significant aggravating factor in the commission of the offence];*
- ii.) Once the unsuspecting girl was inside the house, you ambushed her in the hallway of the house, grabbed her by the hand, placed a knife to her neck and threatened to stab her if she did not shut up. *[The Court was satisfied that the use of restraint and the use of threats and an offensive weapon to subdue the complainant was also a significant aggravating feature of the offence.];*
- iii.) You forced yourself on the complainant and had sexual intercourse with her against her will using the threat of physical violence and verbal threats to achieve your selfish gratification. *[Quite apart*

from the fact that the offence of rape is particularly unpleasant because it involves such intimate proximity between the offender and the victim, the Court was also satisfied that Jeanny Oliver was deprived of her right to have her first sexual experience with a partner of her choice. The act of sexual intercourse is an act to which society attaches considerable value because it is a fundamental means of expressing one's love for another person. The fact that you deprived her of this first experience and have, in the process, caused her such long term emotional trauma significantly aggravated the seriousness of the offence];

- iv.) The Court found that the seriousness of this offence was further aggravated the fact that you had been told and were aware that the complainant was having her menstrual period;
- v.) At the time of the offence, the complainant was only 16 years old while you were a man of 42 years. *[The Court was satisfied that disparity in ages between you and the complainant was a significant aggravating factor in relation to this offence];*
- vi.) The offence was further aggravated by the fact that you had unprotected sex with the complainant. *[The Court is aware that rape involves a severe degree of emotional and psychological trauma. Quite apart from the trauma of the unwanted act itself, even after the event, the Court is satisfied that a woman would suffer continuing insecurity. The fact that you had unprotected sex with her could only have added to her insecurity by heightening the fear of disease or pregnancy and was a significant aggravating factor of the offence];*

vii.) Finally, the Court is satisfied that the seriousness of the offence is aggravated by the fact (as disclosed in the Pre-Sentence Report) that the complainant, Jeanny Olivier still suffers from profound psychological after-effects of the offence which have continued some 4 years after the ordeal which you put her through. *[The consequences of rape on many female victims are well-documented. Some authors write of a 'rape trauma syndrome', signifying deep disruption of the victim's life pattern and thought processes not just in terms of the physical effects of the rape, but also in terms of the effects on the victim's well-being. The fact that 4 years following the rape, Jeanny Oliver still suffers from profound psychological after-effects of the offence has significantly aggravated the seriousness of the offence.]*

[54.] The Court was satisfied that the seriousness of the offence in this case was mitigated to a limited extent by the fact that given your longstanding and heavy crack cocaine addiction, in the words of Prison Psychologist, Mr. Pilgrim, *"it would be hard to imagine that during the commission of this act, [you] were not still actively using the substance."*

[55.] Ultimately, the Court found that this was a serious case of rape characterized by numerous aggravating factors and was an offence in which the only mitigating factor in relation to the offence was the fact that your culpability for the offence was reduced by the fact that (given your twin diagnoses of Adult Anti-Social Personality Behavior and Substance Abuse Disorder (Cocaine)) you were more likely than not under the influence of cocaine during the commission of the offence.

[56.] Having assessed the seriousness of the offence, and bearing in mind the Court's role in protecting the vulnerable members of the society together with the Court's special protective role in relation to women and girls in this society, the Court considered that 22 years was an appropriate starting point for determining your sentence in this case.

[57.] **Length of the Custodial Sentence- Section 36:** Having considered the gravity of the offence and established, in the Court's discretion, the appropriate starting point for determining your sentence, the Court kept firmly in mind the general judicial guidelines set forth in section 41(2) of the Act, which require, *inter alia*, that the gravity of the punishment must be commensurate with the gravity of the offence.

[58.] The Court next turned to section 36 of the *Penal System Reform Act* and considered the issue of proportionality with a view to determining what length of sentence would be appropriate to do justice in this case.

[59.] In his impassioned mitigation address, your Defence Counsel, Mr. Arthur Holder, urged the Court to consider the nature of crack cocaine addiction and to ensure that as part of your sentence, you are placed in a drug treatment program, failing which you are very likely to reoffend.

[60.] For his part, Crown prosecutor, Mr. Anthony Blackman while acknowledging the need for your to benefit from programs aimed at your rehabilitation, cited the Barbadian Court of Appeal decisions in *Malcolm Mayers v. The Queen* and *Venslow Small v. The Queen* which he said would provide guidance to the Court in evaluating the aggravating and mitigating factors of the offence of rape.

[61.] He identified the aggravating factors in this case as (i) the use of restraint and threats of violence to commit the offence; (ii) the offence was committed

during the complainant's menstrual cycle; (iii) the fact that you planned the offence and used deception to lure the girl into the house; (iv) the fact that you had unprotected sex with the complainant exposing her to the risk of disease and pregnancy; and (v) the use of a weapon of offence during the commission of the offence.

[62.] He urged the Court to find that a lengthy custodial sentence was warranted in this case. He told the Court that in the absence of Court of Appeal sentencing guidelines for rape in this jurisdiction, he would leave the matter to the Court's discretion.

[63.] Focusing next on you as the offender, the Court was, in all honesty, hard pressed to find any mitigating factors in relation to you as the *offender*, apart from Mr. Holder's strong plea in mitigation on your behalf and the fact that, as both Reports together with your atrocious criminal record clearly show, from the early age of 16 years, your life has been in a downward spiral of serious criminality induced by your long standing addiction to illegal drugs and more specifically, to crack cocaine.

[64.] As is clear from your Pre-Sentence Report, and as your Defence Counsel, Mr. Holder emphasized in his mitigation address, your life is in the grip of a devastating physiological and psychological addiction to crack cocaine.

[65.] The Court is also satisfied that there has been for much of your life, a causal link between your crack cocaine addiction and the numerous offences for which you have thus far been convicted. Up to the present time, it appears you have never been exposed to or benefited from substance abuse counseling at the Prison despite having been ordered by a Magistrate in 2013 to undergo a drug treatment program as part of your sentence.

[66.] You are clearly a person who is in need of a properly structured and ongoing program of drug treatment, counseling and rehabilitation, without which your risk of reoffending is a virtual certainty.

[67.] As your Pre-Sentence Report has disclosed, you have spent a large proportion of your life incarcerated for various offences. Reports are that even whilst at Prison, you are out of control and have a history of misconduct including assaulting other inmates, disfiguring property and disrespecting prison officials.

[68.] As the Report discloses, even when not incarcerated, your behavior outside of prison also leaves a lot to be desired. Reports are that you are hot headed, verbally abusive and a nuisance to the community. Residents of your community, including children, are also fearful of you and only feel safe and comfortable when you are incarcerated.

[69.] It is evident that in addition to being punished for this offence, you are also a man in need of rehabilitation and that in the absence of rehabilitation you will continue to pose a danger to the public and to reoffend. The Court is therefore satisfied that during your incarceration for this offence, you will benefit from a lengthy period of exposure to an appropriate and continuous drug treatment program and counseling designed with your specific needs in mind and delivered within a structured environment which would allow you to access all the other support services and which would prepare you to be an independently functioning person in the community.

[70.] Additionally, the Court is also satisfied that you will also benefit from an intensive psychological counseling program to be designed by the Prison Psychologist, Mr. Pilgrim to assist with your particular emotional challenges disclosed in his Report.

[71.] **Time Spent on Remand:** According to Prison Officer Bentley Boucher attached to the Admission and Discharge Department of Her Majesty's Prison Dodds, as at July 14th 2014 you would have spent a total of 194 days on remand.

[72.] To this period the Court had added the additional 148 days which have elapsed since July 14th, 2014 up until today.

[73.] In keeping with the decision of the Caribbean Court of Justice in *Romeo DaCosta Hall v. The Queen*, you will be given full credit for the now 342 days that you have to date spent on remand awaiting your trial and the final disposition of this matter.

[74.] **Order of the Court:** *Leroy Dennis Snaggs*, you are hereby sentenced to a term of **20 years** imprisonment in respect of this offence. From this sentence and in keeping with the CCJ decision in *Romeo DaCosta Hall v The Queen*, there will be deducted the 342 days you have to date spent on remand in connection with this matter. In the result, you will be required to serve the remaining period of **19 years 23 days** in custody for this offence.

[75.] Your sentence will commence immediately and will run concurrently with the sentence which you are now serving.

[76.] As part of your sentence and with the aim of facilitating the process of your speedy rehabilitation, you are ordered to undergo a long term drug treatment program to be specially designed for you by IDRC Coordinator at HMP Dodds, Mr. Peter Lorde and his team of counselors with a vital emphasis on establishing and maintaining all possible forms of support within prison and in the community.

[77.] With a view to ensuring that a special long term Drug Treatment Plan is specially designed and put in place for you throughout the duration of your sentence, the Superintendent of Prisons will make the necessary arrangements to have you brought back before a Judge of the High Court for a progress report. For this purpose, periodic Progress Reports shall be submitted to the Court by the Superintendent of Prisons at regular 2-yearly intervals on or before the dates indicated below:

December 8, 2016;

December 8, 2018;

December 8, 2020;

December 8, 2022;

December 8, 2024;

December 8, 2026;

December 8, 2028;

December 8, 2030;

December 8, 2032.

[78.] As part of your sentence, and also with the aim of facilitating your rehabilitation, you are also ordered to undergo an intensive psychological counseling program to be designed for you by Prison Psychologist, Mr. Sean Pilgrim to assist with your emotional challenges identified in the Psychological Report dated September 19th, 2014.

[79.] The Superintendent of Prisons is requested to make the necessary administrative and logistical arrangements to ensure that the order of this Court is put into effect.

[80.] This is the order of the Court.

Maureen Crane-Scott
Judge of the High Court
09-12-2014